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## Introduction

- A collection of individual graphs with the same vertex set but different edge set.
- graphs with varying interactions over time, i.e. Dynamic Graphs
- graphs with multiple type of connectivity, i.e. Multiplex Graphs



Taken from [SLT10]
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## Expectation

Multislice graph wavelets, which can be adapted to the varying graph topology, may give a better suited analysis than the analysis on a single slice.
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- In classical signal processing, the wavelet $\psi_{s, a}(x)$ at scale $s$ and location a for a given "mother wavelet" $\psi(x)$ are:

$$
\psi_{s, a}(x)=\psi\left(\frac{x-a}{s}\right) \Longleftrightarrow \psi_{s, a}(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-j \omega x} \hat{\psi}(s w) e^{-j \omega a} d \omega
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\psi_{s, a}(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-j \omega x} \hat{\psi}(s w) e^{-j \omega a} d \omega \rightarrow \psi_{s, a}(i)=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbf{u}_{j}(i) g\left(s \lambda_{j}\right) \mathbf{u}_{j}(a)
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- In a matrix-vector product:

$$
\psi_{s, a}=U^{T} g(s \wedge) U \delta_{a}
$$

- One needs the scaling function $h\left(\lambda_{i}\right)$ to capture the residual low-pass components:

$$
\phi_{a}=U^{T} h(\Lambda) U \delta_{a}
$$

- If we put them together, we obtain a set of vectors:

$$
F=\left\{\phi_{a}\right\}_{a=1}^{n} \cup\left\{\psi_{a, s_{j}}\right\}_{a=1, j=1}^{n, J}
$$

## Tight Wavelet Frames

- $F$ forms a frame of $I_{2}(\mathcal{V})$, if there exists frame bounds $A, B>0$ such that

$$
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- Hammond et.al.provide:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\min _{\lambda \in\left[0, \lambda_{N}\right]} G(\lambda) \\
& B=\max _{\lambda \in\left[0, \lambda_{N}\right]} G(\lambda)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $G(\lambda)=h^{2}(\lambda)+\sum_{j=1}^{J} g^{2}\left(s_{j} \lambda\right)$.
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## Tight Wavelet Frames

- A tight frame satisfies $A=B=G(\lambda)$ for all $\lambda$ 's.
- A Parseval frame is a normalized tight frame with $G(\lambda)=A=B=1$ for all $\lambda$ 's.
- Various Parseval wavelet frames have been adapted to graphs:


Meyer


Papadakis


Simoncelli


Meyer with more scales
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## Tensors and Multislice Graphs
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- A tensor $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{\boldsymbol{I}_{1} \times I_{2} \times \ldots I_{d}}$ is an algebraic object that can interpret $d$ dimensional data.
- A three dimensional tensor $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N \times K}$ can interpret a multislice graph.

- Each frontal slice $A_{:: k}$ is an adjacency matrix.
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$$
\left(\mathcal{A} \times_{1} \mathrm{~B}\right)_{j k m}=\sum_{i=1}^{\prime} \mathcal{A}_{i j k} \mathrm{~B}_{m i}
$$

- Matricization or Unfolding $\mathrm{A}_{(n)}$ is a reordered concatenation of the slices in the dimension $n$ :


Figure: Taken from [STK ${ }^{+}$15]

## Tensor Analysis

## Proposition

A tensor $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N \times K}$ with $\mathrm{A}_{:: k}=\mathrm{A}_{:: k}^{T}$ for all $k=1, \ldots, K$ has the the higher order singular value decomposition (HOSVD) decomposition:

$$
\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{S} \times{ }_{1} \mathrm{U} \times{ }_{2} \mathrm{U} \times{ }_{3} \mathrm{~V}
$$

with $\mathcal{S} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N \times K}$ and $\mathrm{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ and $\mathrm{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times K}$ are orthonormal matrices i.e. $\mathrm{V}^{\top} \mathrm{V}=\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{U}^{\top} \mathrm{U}=\mathrm{I}$.
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## What do they mean?

- The first eigennetwork $S_{:!1}^{\prime}$ turns to be the average of all adjacency matrices.
- Each eigennetwork captures a component of the variation in the edge weights across the networks.
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$$
\mathcal{S}^{\prime}=\mathcal{A} \times{ }_{3} \mathrm{~V}^{T} \Longleftrightarrow \mathrm{~S}_{:: k}^{\prime}=\sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathrm{~V}_{t k} \mathrm{~A}_{:: t}
$$

- With the unfolding in third dimension $\mathrm{A}_{(3)}=\mathrm{V} \Sigma \mathrm{W}^{T}$, for $K \ll N$, one can efficiently compute V by decomposing $\mathrm{A}_{(3)} \mathrm{A}_{(3)}{ }^{T}=\mathrm{V} \Sigma^{2} \mathrm{~V}^{T}$.


## Outline

(1) Introduction
(2) Tight Wavelet Frames
(3) Multislice Graphs and Tensors
(4) SGWT for Multislice Graphs
(5) Experiments
(6) Conclusion

## SGWT meets with Multislice Graphs

- Each eigennetwork captures a component of the variation.


## SGWT meets with Multislice Graphs

- Each eigennetwork captures a component of the variation.
- Also, by definition, one has

$$
\mathrm{A}_{:: k}=\sum_{t=1}^{K} \mathrm{~V}_{k t} \mathrm{~S}_{:: t}^{\prime}
$$

## SGWT meets with Multislice Graphs

- Each eigennetwork captures a component of the variation.
- Also, by definition, one has

$$
\mathrm{A}_{:: k}=\sum_{t=1}^{K} \mathrm{~V}_{k t} \mathrm{~S}_{:: t}^{\prime}
$$

- A new graph can be obtained by combining eigennetworks:

$$
\mathrm{A}^{\prime}=\sum_{t=1}^{K} \alpha_{t} \mathrm{~S}_{:: t}^{\prime} \quad \text { s.t. } \mathrm{A}^{\prime} \geq 0
$$

## SGWT meets with Multislice Graphs

- Each eigennetwork captures a component of the variation.
- Also, by definition, one has

$$
\mathrm{A}_{\because: k}=\sum_{t=1}^{K} \mathrm{~V}_{k t} \mathrm{~S}_{:: t}^{\prime}
$$

- A new graph can be obtained by combining eigennetworks:

$$
\mathrm{A}^{\prime}=\sum_{t=1}^{K} \alpha_{t} \mathrm{~S}_{:: t}^{\prime} \quad \text { s.t. } \mathrm{A}^{\prime} \geq 0
$$

- Depending on $\alpha_{t}$ 's and $S_{:: t}$, the edge weights associated to relevant variation components are emphasized in the new network.


## SGWT meets with Multislice Graphs

- Each eigennetwork captures a component of the variation.
- Also, by definition, one has

$$
\mathrm{A}_{\because: k}=\sum_{t=1}^{K} \mathrm{~V}_{k t} \mathrm{~S}_{:: t}^{\prime}
$$

- A new graph can be obtained by combining eigennetworks:

$$
\mathrm{A}^{\prime}=\sum_{t=1}^{K} \alpha_{t} \mathrm{~S}_{:: t}^{\prime} \quad \text { s.t. } \mathrm{A}^{\prime} \geq 0
$$

- Depending on $\alpha_{t}$ 's and $S_{:: t}$, the edge weights associated to relevant variation components are emphasized in the new network.
- From the new graph Laplacian $\mathrm{L}^{\prime}=\mathrm{D}^{\prime}-\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$, one has a new SGWT frame on it.
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- Two illustrations for this framework is given:
- Multiplex Grid Graph on Images
- Dynamic Brain Graphs
- In the first one, we have four underlying 2D grid graphs for an image:

- The resultant decomposition gives:

$$
\mathrm{V}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
-0.47 & 0.73 & 0 & 0.59  \tag{1}\\
-0.49 & -0.69 & 0 & 0.54 \\
-0.52 & -0.01 & 0.71 & -0.47 \\
-0.52 & -0.01 & -0.71 & -0.49
\end{array}\right] \text { with } \mathrm{S}_{::: k}^{\prime}=\sum_{t=1}^{4} \mathrm{~V}_{t k} \mathrm{~A}_{:: t}
$$

## Experiments

- A network set is created from these eigennetworks and a localized filter illustrated on them:


Figure: (a)filter location, (b) $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{\prime}=-0.5 \mathrm{~S}_{!: 1}^{\prime}$, (c) $\mathrm{A}_{2}^{\prime}=-0.5 \mathrm{~S}_{!: 1}^{\prime}+0.7 \mathrm{~S}_{:: 2}^{\prime}$, (d) $\mathrm{A}_{3}^{\prime}=$ $-0.5 S_{:!1}^{\prime}-0.7 S_{:: 2}^{\prime},(e) A_{4}^{\prime}=-0.5 S_{:: 1}^{\prime}+0.8 S_{:!3}^{\prime},(f) A_{5}^{\prime}=-0.5 S_{:: 1}^{\prime}-0.8 S_{:: 3}^{\prime}$
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## Experiments: Dynamic Brain Graphs

- In the experiments, 15 healthy subjects were periodically shown a short movie excerpt followed by a resting period.
- The collected fMRI data is transformed to the regional mean activity and averaged across subjects.

- By a sliding window approach, the correlations of different regions are computed and used as edge weights.



## Experiments:Dynamic Brain Graph

- The eigennetworks $S_{::!}^{\prime}$ 's and $\mathrm{v}_{k}$ 's:



## Experiments: Dynamic Brain Graph

- Two adjacency matrices $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{\prime}=-0.1 \mathrm{~S}_{1}^{\prime}+0.2 \mathrm{~S}_{:: 2}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2}^{\prime}=-0.1 \mathrm{~S}_{1}^{\prime}-0.3 \mathrm{~S}_{:: 2}^{\prime}$ are generated:
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## Experiments:Dynamic Brain Graph

- In the end, two SGWT transforms are obtained.
- They are applied to the regional activity signal.
- The energy of scaling and wavelet coefficients are computed in both frame.
- Finally, the difference is plotted:
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## Conclusion

- An extension of SGWT on multislice graphs is presented.
- This extension allows us to capture the variation across the graphs.
- It can be used for different GSP tools.
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